



This thread was locked on 2008-12-14 17:43:30. Reason: Done

Forums > Site Related Forum > Does a Moderators Fake Profile have Immunity also? Search Reply

first 4 5 6 7 8 last



SayCheeZl wrote:
How is this different than anyone else getting a thread unlocked after they requested?
Just wonderin'.

He got it unlocked at will. With the snap of his fingers. Within seconds of desiring it to be so.

Twice.
Let's see YOU pull that one off.


Dec 14 08 03:23 am Link Quote



SayCheeZl wrote:
Don't blame jewish leaders... blame it on the RABIES virus!

I saw them, they were wearing yamakas

Dec 14 08 03:23 am Link Quote




C'mon people. It's so obvious who it is. You're going to smack yourself on the head once you figure it out. It's a little harder now that Jack's smile is gone but you saw it, it's easy.

The real profile had to be created before Jun 08, 2005. It's most likely an East Coast profile. Specifically, it's a NY profile. The PS work is a clue, as is the watermark. Specifically, the random placement of the watermark. Profile links and text offers further clues. Jack makes reference to Vietnam.

Here's the Google cache version of Jack's profile. PM me your guess and I'll confirm it for you if you are right. But you only get on shot at it.

http://209.85.173.132/search?q=cache:lj...-firefox-a

Dec 14 08 03:24 am Edit Link Quote




Corwin Prescott II wrote:
I love when people make up statistics
see the problem with anyone believe that he unlocked them himself is that if you believe that you either
A. Believe he was a Mod, and therefore disagree with Benny, who even though he is short, I wouldn't ever believe to tell a lie about something like or.
B. You believe that he stumbled upon some secret flaw in the site, that not a single one of the hundred of other techie website programming people have found, that allows him to do mod like actions.

You are right Corwin, it was all just a string of coincidences...nothing below the boards happened.

I'm going to bed.


Dec 14 08 03:25 am Link Quote



You know what's funny?
Whoever "Jack" is/was is probably sitting there on his computer right now reading all of this laughing his ass off..

/random-ness..


Dec 14 08 03:25 am Link Quote



Chris Keeling wrote:
You are right Corwin, it was all just a string of coincidences...nothing below the boards happened.
I'm going to bed.

I didn't say somebody didn't unlock it for him, I said he didn't unlock it himself sleep on it

Dec 14 08 03:27 am Link Quote




Chris Keeling wrote:
He got it unlocked at will. With the snap of his fingers. Within seconds of desiring it to be so.

Twice.

Let's see YOU pull that one off.

There are many scenarios where that could be possible. For example, a roommate situation, boyfriend spending the night situation, friends drinking together situation... only one of the two needs to be a mod and in the same room together or very close by on laptops, or on the phone with each other. Gosh! So many possibilities!!

Dec 14 08 03:30 am Link Quote



SayCheeZl wrote:
How is this different than anyone else getting a thread unlocked after they requested?
Just wonderin'.

Legitimately doing a thread requires a CAM and there is a record. There has to be a valid reason for unlock.

Jack got someone to unlock it just to post more in direction violation of another mod's actions.

Personally, I don't think it was that big a deal but it does undermine the hard work that other mods put into this site. As I said before, it also undermines their integrity. Jack drunk posting and calling someone a talentless fuck has no effect on me. But if I was a mod putting in countless hours on this site for no pay and a shit load of crap from members, I would be pretty pissed that another mod or a site admin would do that to Isis. I suspect a few mods said as much in the mod room.

Dec 14 08 03:33 am Edit Link Quote



Kelly Watkins wrote:
There are many scenarios where that could be possible. For example, a roommate situation, boyfriend spending the night situation, friends drinking together situation... only one of the two needs to be a mod and in the same room together or very close by on laptops, or on the phone with each other. Gosh! So many possibilities!!

And every single one of them leads to a Mod being severely reprimanded or dismissed. Which is still why this is still an issue.

Dec 14 08 03:34 am Link Quote



Kelly Watkins wrote:
There are many scenarios where that could be possible. For example, a roommate situation, boyfriend spending the night situation, friends drinking together situation... only one of the two needs to be a mod and in the same room together or very close by on laptops, or on the phone with each other. Gosh! So many possibilities!!

Hey, you left out smoke signals and morse code.

Dec 14 08 03:34 am Edit Link Quote



Corwin Prescott II wrote:
I didn't say somebody didn't unlock it for him, I said he didn't unlock it himself sleep on it

I don't need to sleep on anything, I'm only half as stupid as you are insinuating I am and I understand this issue in-depth. You just don't wanna lose the cute dog.

Dec 14 08 03:36 am Link Quote



Chris Keeling wrote:
I don't need to sleep on anything, I'm only half as stupid as you are insinuating I am and I understand this issue in-depth. You just don't wanna lose the cute dog.

I don't know if this was brought up but Jack's profile was inactive for over 6 months, is there any chance that a mod, or hacker, or former mod who still knows how to get in and out of the site code, appropriated the profile for themselves?

I am saying this because Jack in the forums over the past few weeks has been different then Jack from before when the site was new.

Is there any chance that Jack was well, jacked?

Dec 14 08 03:40 am Link Quote




Chris Keeling wrote:
And every single one of them leads to a Mod being severely reprimanded or dismissed. Which is still why this is still an issue.

My guess is they were drinking together and didn't intend for it to go where it did. Isn't that usually the result of too much booze?

I don't think it's really all that serious, but then again, I am one of those that doesn't take this site all too seriously. I'm just having fun playing Scooby Doo and the Gang and solving this mystery!!

Dec 14 08 03:41 am Link Quote



Star wrote:
I don't know if this was brought up but Jack's profile was inactive for over 6 months, is there any chance that a mod, or hacker, or former mod who still knows how to get in and out of the site code, appropriated the profile for themselves?

I am saying this because Jack in the forums over the past few weeks has been different then Jack from before when the site was new.

Is there any chance that Jack was well, jacked?

I doubt it. Regardless, Jack is not really the issue. It could have been Spotty Dotty or Pie Bear and the results are the same. Jack is just a puppet.


Dec 14 08 03:42 am Edit Link Quote



PYPI FASHION wrote:
Hey, you left out smoke signals and morse code.

And homing pigeons!!

Dec 14 08 03:45 am Link Quote



Kelly Watkins wrote:
And homing pigeons!!

Won't work. That's not real time unless the pigeon is going from living room to kitchen.

Dec 14 08 03:54 am Edit Link Quote



PYPI FASHION wrote:
Won't work. That's not real time unless the pigeon is going from living room to kitchen.

That's why they're called homing pigeons. They fly from room to room in the home. Duh!


Dec 14 08 03:56 am Link Quote



Damon Banner wrote:
my guess is still the same.

i think you are right on target
for what its worth

Dec 14 08 04:12 am Link Quote



PYPI FASHION wrote:
I doubt it. Regardless, Jack is not really the issue. It could have been Spotty Dotty or Pie Bear and the results are the same. Jack is just a puppy.

puppy

Dec 14 08 04:12 am Link Quote




Miss Fifl-Alexis wrote:
@ some of the people in this thread.

Seriously.

Grown ass motherfuckers with fake ass profiles breaking rules and getting away with it while other folks get banned for being disliked by the wrong people.

Dec 14 08 09:12 am Link Quote




Patchouli Nyx wrote:
so why did the dog get tossed for drunken posts vs being put on probation?

Chris Keeling wrote:
He either unlocked or had someone unlock a locked thread twice last night so he could "add one more post".

If that isn't a good enough reason (it is), he is a dog.

well then isn't a mod at fault as well? It's kinda like finding out that a gatekeeper who let one of their old banned friends back onto the site.

Dec 14 08 09:15 am Link Quote



Miss Fifl-Alexis wrote:
@ some of the people in this thread.


Shon D. VA wrote:
Seriously.

Grown ass motherfuckers with fake ass profiles breaking rules and getting away with it while other folks get banned for being disliked by the wrong people.

Basically, there's a lot of BS on MM.

That's why it's best not to take it too seriously.

Dec 14 08 09:18 am Link Quote



Patchouli Nyx wrote:
so why did the dog get tossed for drunken posts vs being put on probation?

well then isn't a mod at fault as well? It's kinda like finding out that a gatekeeper who let one of their old banned friends back onto the site.

Jen- wrote:
It takes more than ONE gatekeeper to let someone onto the site. There are a certain number of votes by various gatekeepers and other things are checked out too.

Nice try.

You can and do have a few gatekeepers from the same online clique.

Dec 14 08 11:26 am Link Quote



Patchouli Nyx wrote:
You can and do have a few gatekeepers from the same online clique.

Did you not ready my prior post?

Gatekeeping DOES NOT WORK THAT WAY. (I'm a gatekeeper)

Again. NICE TRY.

Dec 14 08 11:54 am Link Quote



Micyl Sweeney wrote:
One doesn't expect a whole lot from a networking site however a site like MM there is no sense in such bs as dog and cat profiles. Seriously that is just ignorant.

No it's not.

I wanted to do a shoot with a snake.

I contacted "Dalton the Snake" MM# 306563.

He showed up on time and was more professional than some of the other MMers I worked with that week.

Dec 14 08 12:01 pm Link Quote



Patchouli Nyx wrote:
so why did the dog get tossed for drunken posts vs being put on probation?

well then isn't a mod at fault as well? It's kinda like finding out that a gatekeeper who let one of their old banned friends back onto the site.

You can and do have a few gatekeepers from the same online clique.

There are many things to take into consideration. And no, there is actually not enough people in any one clique to vote back any old banned member in. It's also much more complicated than that as well.

Please don't start jumping on the Gatekeepers as if they are part or could be part of a conspiracy, because they simply aren't. They do very hard and good work for this site.

Dec 14 08 12:04 pm Link Quote



CagedGypsy wrote:
There are many things to take into consideration. And no, there is actually not enough people in any one clique to vote back any old banned member in. It's also much more complicated than that as well.

Please don't start jumping on the Gatekeepers as if they are part or could be part of a conspiracy, because they simply aren't. They do very hard and good work for this site.

really?

and what if the clique has 4-6 members?

or if one or two of the members aren't just gatekeepers but are full on mods?

Dec 14 08 12:10 pm Link Quote



Patchouli Nyx wrote:
really?

and what if the clique has 4-6 members?

or if one or two of the members aren't just gatekeepers but are full on mods?

You're losing me. Also what does this have to do with the OP?

I responded to put an end to the subject.

Dec 14 08 12:24 pm Link Quote



Patchouli Nyx wrote:
really?

and what if the clique has 4-6 members?

or if one or two of the members aren't just gatekeepers but are full on mods?

Different topic, different thread please.

Dec 14 08 12:29 pm Link Quote



CagedGypsy wrote:
You're losing me. Also what does this have to do with the OP?

I responded to put an end to the subject.

Its her conspiracy theory.

Drugs are bad. Seriously.

Dec 14 08 12:30 pm Link Quote



-Jen- wrote:
Its her conspiracy theory.

Drugs are bad. Seriously.

Thanks Jen, we're asking to stop the hijack and you're taking pot shots at her. You've been disruptive from your first post here, please stop.

Dec 14 08 12:39 pm Link Quote



Chris Keeling wrote:
Thanks Jen, we're asking to stop the hijack and you're taking pot shots at her. You've been disruptive from your first post here, please stop.

I have not been disruptive. She was implying that gatekeepers are letting in banned members and I corrected her because she obviously has no idea what she is talking about.

You are not a mod, get over yourself.

Dec 14 08 12:43 pm Link Quote



-Jen- wrote:
I have not been disruptive. She was implying that gatekeepers are letting in banned members and I corrected her because she obviously has no idea what she is talking about.

You are not a mod, get over yourself.

ugh

Dec 14 08 12:44 pm Link Quote



\*yawn\*

Dec 14 08 12:46 pm Link Quote



CagedGypsy wrote:
You're losing me. Also what does this have to do with the OP?

I responded to put an end to the subject.

-Jen- wrote:
Its her conspir theory.

Drugs are bad. Seriously.


actually non-pharm drugs can be very good. but really, aren't we having fun?

isn't that the purpose of the site?

why sooooo serious?

ok back to how the dog hacked into the site...if that's what happened.

Dec 14 08 01:50 pm [Link](#) [Quote](#)



**Moderator**  
**CagedGypsy**  
Reputation: 30  
Posts: 20,721

**Patchouli Nyx wrote:**

**CagedGypsy wrote:**  
You're losing me. Also what does this have to do with the OP?

I responded to put an end to the subject.

actually non-pharm drugs can be very good.  
but really, aren't we having fun?

isn't that the purpose of the site?

why sooooo serious? ☹

ok back to how the dog hacked into the site...if that's what happened.

In all seriousness, if you have an issue do make a thread.

About the issue at hand, I can't offer up anything. I have been away for basically a week and am catching up on things here.

Dec 14 08 02:09 pm [Link](#) [Quote](#)



**Model**  
**Model Sarah**  
Posts: 19,798

**PYPI FASHION wrote:**

C'mon people. It's so obvious who it is. You're going to smack yourself on the head once you figure it out. It's a little harder now that Jack's profile is gone but if you saw it, it's easy.

The real profile had to be created before Jun 08, 2005.  
It's most likely an East Coast profile. Specifically, it's a NY profile.  
The PS work is a clue, as is the watermark. Specifically, the random placement of the watermark.  
Profile links and text offers further clues.  
Jack makes reference to Vietnam.

Here's the Google cache version of Jack's profile. PM me your guess and I'll confirm it for you if you are right. But you only get on shot at it.

<http://209.85.173.132/search?q=cache:U...=firefox-a>

It's not rocket science. It's just rather sad I've lost respect for the real person. The mod I've never had respect for, and that one can be difficult to figure out as well but I know who it is.

Unless you have been here for 3 years you'll probably never figure it out. He doesn't post in the forums anymore. When he did it was... um interesting.

He's wanted to work with me for a while now too...



Dec 14 08 02:12 pm [Link](#) [Quote](#)

[first](#) [4](#) [5](#) [6](#) **7** [8](#) [last](#)

[Search](#) [Reply](#)

[main](#) | [browse](#) | [forums](#) | [shout box](#) | [info](#) | [my stuff](#) | [logout](#)

©2006-2008 ModelMayhem.com. All Rights Reserved.  
Toggle Werksafe Mode: [Off](#) | [On](#)

[Terms](#) | [Privacy](#) | [Internet Rank](#)